



Multisite Prenylation of 4-Substituted Tryptophans by Dimethylallyltryptophan Synthase

Jeffrey D. Rudolf,[†] Hong Wang,[‡] and C. Dale Poulter*

Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, 315 South 1400 East, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The aromatic prenyltransferase dimethylallyltryptophan synthase in *Claviceps purpurea* catalyzes the normal prenylation of tryptophan at C4 of the indole nucleus in the first committed step of ergot alkaloid biosynthesis. 4-Methyltryptophan is a competitive inhibitor of the enzyme that has been used in kinetic studies. Upon investigation of background activity during incubations of 4-methyltryptophan with dimethylallyl diphosphate, we found that the analogue was an alternate substrate, which gave four products. The



structures of three of these compounds were established by ¹H NMR and 2D NMR studies and revealed that dimethylallyltryptophan synthase catalyzed both normal and reverse prenylation at C3 of the indole ring and normal prenylation of N1. Similarly, 4-methoxytryptophan was an alternate substrate, giving normal prenylation at C5 as the major product. 4-Aminotryptophan, another alternate substrate, gave normal prenylation at C5 and C7. The ability of dimethylallyltryptophan synthase to prenylate at five different sites on the indole nucleus, with normal and reverse prenylation at one of the sites, is consistent with a dissociative electrophilic alkylation of the indole ring, where orientation of the substrates within the active site and substituent electronic effects determine the position and type of prenylation. These results suggest a common mechanism for prenylation of tryptophan by all of the members of the structurally related dimethylallyltryptophan synthase family.

■ INTRODUCTION

Prenylated indole alkaloids constitute a large family of chemically diverse biologically active natural products.^{1–3} Biosynthetically, the carbon skeletons of these compounds are constructed from prenyl diphosphates and tryptophan or derivatives of tryptophan. Well-known examples include the ergot alkaloids, the brevianamides, epiamauromine, and verruculogen (Figure 1). Collectively a family of enzymes, the dimethylallyltryptophan synthases (DMATS) catalyze alkylation of the indole ring in tryptophan or tryptophan-containing



Figure 1. Indole ring numbering, possible prenylation orientations, and representative structures of prenylated indole alkaloids.

dipeptides at positions N1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, or C7 by dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP) to give the different naturally occurring carbon skeletons.^{4–11} The dimethylallyl moiety can be attached at C1' ("normal" prenylation) or C3' ("reverse" prenylation), further increasing structural diversity. For wild-type DMATS, both normal and reverse prenylation are seen at N1^{4,12} and at C2.^{5,13} Reverse prenylation at C3, in either the α - or β -configuration, is catalyzed by the DMATS AnaPT⁶ and CdpC3PT,⁷ respectively. Very recently, FtmPT1 (a normal C2 prenyltransferase) was shown to catalyze normal prenylation at C3 of tryptophan-containing cyclic dipeptides.¹⁴ Only normal prenylation is seen at C4,^{8,15} C5,⁹ and C6.¹⁰ 7-DMATS catalyzes normal prenylation at C7 of L-tryptophan,¹¹ and MpnD catalyzes reverse prenylation at C7 of an indolactam.¹⁶

4-DMATS catalyzes the normal prenylation of tryptophan at C4 by DMAPP as the first committed step in ergot alkaloid biosynthesis.¹⁷ The enzyme was the first indole prenyltransferase to be purified, characterized, and later available from expression clones and has been studied from *Claviceps purpurea*^{8,18,19} and *Aspergillus fumigatus*.^{15,20–22} 4-DMATS (FgaPT2) from *A. fumigatus* consists of a 10-stranded antiparallel β -barrel surrounded by α -helices.²² This "ABBA PT" fold has been reported for five other prenyltransferases:

Received: October 31, 2012 Published: January 9, 2013 FtmPT1²³ and CdpNPT,²⁴ normal C2 and reverse C3 indole prenyltransferases, respectively; NphB (formerly Orf2),²⁵ CloQ,²⁶ and EpzP,²⁷ nonindole aromatic prenyltransferases. BLAST alignments with 4-DMATS from *C. purpurea* and other DMATS report the following sequence identities: 56% with FgaPT2 from *A. fumigatus*, 35% with FtmPT1 from *A. fumigatus*, 35% with CdpC3PT from *Neosartorya fischeri*, 31% with CdpNPT from *A. fumigatus*, 47% with 5-DMATS from *A. clavatus*, 23% with IptA from *Streptomyces* sp. SN-593, and 28% with 7-DMATS from *A. fumigatus*.²⁸ A recent phylogenetic analysis illustrates the relationships between aromatic and indole prenyltransferases.¹⁰

The mechanism proposed for prenylation by DMATS is a dissociative electrophilic alkylation of the indole ring by DMAPP, where cleavage of the carbon-oxygen bond in DMAPP gives a dimethylallyl cation-PP, ion pair, with subsequent alkylation of the indole moiety, followed by loss of a proton to give the prenylated product.^{19,29} In the case of 4-DMATS, regiospecific alkylation at C4 of the indole ring by C1' of the allylic cation generates an arenium intermediate, which rearomatizes by deprotonation at C4 to produce dimethylallyltryptophan (DMAT). The crystal structure of FgaPT2 from A. fumigatus in complex with tryptophan and dimethylallyl S-thiolodiphosphate, a nonhydrolyzable DMAPP analogue,³⁰ revealed two active site amino acids thought to be important for catalysis.²² A hydrogen bond between Glu89 and the indole N-H likely increases the electron density in the indole ring. Lys174 is situated near C4 and is a likely candidate for removing the C4 proton during rearomatization. Recently, Luk et al. reported that the K174A mutant of FgaPT2 gave a C3 reverse-prenylated hexahydropyrroloindole as the major product in addition to a small amount of 4-DMAT.³¹ The authors suggest the initial alkylation is a reverse prenylation at C3 of the indole ring, followed by a Cope rearrangement, a mechanism originally proposed by Wenkert and Sliwa,³² to give the normal C4 prenylated arenium intermediate followed by deprotonation facilitated by Lys174 to produce 4-DMAT. Although there is no direct experimental evidence for the Cope rearrangement in a 3-substituted indole, Schwarzer et al. reported a related homo-Cope rearrangement at room temperature driven by relief of cyclopropyl ring strain.³³

4-Methyltryptophan has been used as a competitive dead-end analogue of tryptophan in kinetic studies of 4-DMATS.³⁴ The substitution of the hydrogen atom at the C4 by a methyl group should block alkylation at that position. During inhibition studies with 4-DMATS from *C. purpurea*, we utilized 4-methyl-D,L-tryptophan as a competitive substrate inhibitor and found a consistently high background of activity. We subsequently discovered that 4-methyltryptophan is an alternate substrate for 4-DMATS, and we now report studies with the analogue that provide insights about the mechanism of the reaction.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4-Methyl-L-tryptophan. In a nitrogen atmosphere, 4-methylindole (160 mg, 1.22 mmol) and L-serine (250 mg, 2.38 mmol) were incubated at 80 °C with 7.5 mg of recombinant tryptophan synthase from the hyperthermophilic bacterium *Thermotoga maritime*³⁵ in 25 mL of buffer TS (100 mM K₂HPO₄, pH 7.5. containing 180 mM KCl, 120 μ M PLP, and 2% DMSO) for 89 h. After incubation, the reaction mixture was cooled to rt, and the enzyme was removed by filtration (Millipore Centricon, 10 000 molecular weight cutoff (MWCO)). The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo*, the resulting residue was purified by silica gel flash chromatography using a gradient of 0–20% H₂O in ACN to give 175 mg (66%) of an off-white solid; *R*_f 0.65 (ACN/H₂O 4:1); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, D₂O/NaOD) δ 2.19 (s, 3H), 2.44 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (dd, *J* = 5.4, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (dd, *J* = 5.7, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H); UV λ_{max} 219.1, 270.0, 278.4 (shoulder), 289.1 nm (shoulder); MS-ESI *m*/*z* 219.2 [M + H]⁺; HRMS-ESI TOF *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₅N₂O₂, 219.1134; found, 219.1136.

4-Methoxy-L-tryptophan. 4-Methoxyindole (160 mg, 1.09 mmol) was incubated with 8 mg of tryptophan synthase for 24 h as described above. Column chromatography yielded 120 mg (47%) of a light-yellow solid; R_f 0.44 (ACN:H₂O 4:1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O) δ 3.23 (dd, J = 8.5, 14.5 Hz 1H), 3.67 (dd, J = 4.5, 14.5 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 4.14 (dd, J = 4.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, D₂O) δ 28.0, 55.5, 56.5, 100.1, 105.7, 107.7, 116.6, 123.3, 124.4, 138.3, 153.8, 174.8; UV λ_{max} 217.9, 265.3, 279.6, 289.1 nm; MS-ESI m/z 257.1 [M + Na]⁺, 273.1 [M + K]⁺; HRMS-ESI TOF m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₄N₂O₃Na, 257.0902; found, 257.0903.

4-Amino-t-tryptophan. 4-Aminoindole (160 mg, 1.21 mmol) was incubated with 2 mg tryptophan synthase for 16 h as described above. Column chromatography yielded 220 mg (83%) as a gray solid; R_f 0.34 (ACN:H₂O 4:1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O) δ 3.38 (dd, J = 8.0, 16.0 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dd, J = 5.0, 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (dd, J = 5.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.08–7.10 (m, 2H), 7.20 (s, 1H); UV λ_{max} 221.5, 267.7 (shoulder), 272.4, 283.1 nm (shoulder); MS-ESI m/z 220.1 [M + Na]⁺, 242.2 [M + Na]⁺, 258.1 [M + K]⁺; HRMS-ESI TOF m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₄N₃O₂, 220.1086; found, 220.1078.

Production and Purification of 4-DMATS. A production strain for soluble 4-DMATS was constructed by cotransforming E. coli BL21 Star (DE3) with pHDMAT and pGroESL³⁶ according to the manufacturer's instructions. E. coli BL21 Star (DE3)/pHDMAT/ pGroESL was incubated in 1 L of LB medium containing 35 μ g/mL kanamycin and 34 μ g/mL chloramphenicol at 37 °C with shaking at 225 rpm until an OD_{600} of 0.6 was reached. The culture was then cooled to 20 °C, and protein expression was induced with IPTG to a final concentration of 0.4 mM. After 18 h, cells were harvested by centrifugation (4000g, 20 min, 4 °C). Pelleted cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, pH 8.0, containing 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 1 mg/mL of lysozyme, and 10 μ g/mL of RNase A). After incubation at 0 °C for 30 min, the cell suspension was sonicated for 6 \times 10 s at 4 °C. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation (10 000g, 20 min, 4 °C). 4-DMATS was purified by nickel affinity chromatography using a gradient elution 0-100% of lysis buffer/ elution buffer (50 mM NaH_2PO₄, pH 8.0, containing 300 mM NaCl, and 500 mM imidazole). The purified protein was dialyzed against 2 \times 4 L of dialysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, containing 1 mM β ME, and then against 4 L of dialysis buffer containing 20% glycerol. The protein was stored at -80 °C until use.

Kinetic Studies. All kinetic assays were performed in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 4 mM MgCl₂ and 50 μ M ¹⁴C-DMAPP (10 μ Ci/ μ mol), in a total volume of 100 μ L. Each reaction was incubated at 30 °C and quenched by heating at 100 °C for 30 s. After centrifugation, 10 μ L of reaction mixture were spotted and developed by RP-C8 TLC using a solvent system of 4:6 25 mM NH₄HCO₃/ methanol. The developed TLC plates were then imaged on a storage phosphor screen (Molecular Dynamics) and scanned by a Typhoon 8600 Variable Mode Imager (GE Healthcare), and the data were visualized and processed with ImageQuant 5.2. Background radioactivity was determined from incubations without the tryptophan substrate. Each kinetic assay was performed in duplicate or triplicate. For L-tryptophan, the assay contained 50 nM 4-DMATS and Ltryptophan concentrations of 3, 10, 20, 30, and 60 μ M, with a 5 min incubation. For the tryptophan analogues, the assay contained 500 nM 4-DMATS with 20 min incubations. For 4-methyltryptophan, final concentrations were 30, 100, 300, and 600 μ M; for 4-methoxytryptophan, 30, 100, 300, 600, 1000, and 3000 µM; and for 4aminotryptophan, 3, 10, 20, 30, 60, and 100 µM.

Product Studies. Incubations were in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 10 mM MgCl₂, 8.8 mM DMAPP, 20 mM tryptophan analogue, and 15 μ M 4-DMATS in a total volume of 5 mL. Glycerol present in the 4-DMATS dialysis buffer was removed by repeated

Journal of the American Chemical Society

dialysis/centrifugation using an Amicon Ultra 10 kDa MWCO filter. The reaction mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 18–42 h. 4-DMATS was removed by MWCO filtration, samples were concentrated by lyophilization, and the products were purified by HPLC on a Waters 2690 Separation Module using a Microsorb MVTM C18 5 μ m column at a flow rate of 1 mL/min with isocratic elution using ACN:H₂O (25/75). Products were detected with a Waters 996 photodiode array detector with simultaneous monitoring at 206, 225, and 254 nm. Product ratios were calculated by integrating the area under each product peak visualized at 214 nm (4-methyl/4-methoxy) or 225 nm (4-amino).

Structure of 4-Substituted Dimethylallyltryptophan Products. After purification, each product was lyophilized, dissolved in D_2O (D, 99.9%), lyophilized again, dissolved in D_2O (D, 99.96%) (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc.; Andover, MA), and placed in a Norell 3 mm NMR tube (Sigma). NMR experiments were recorded on an INOVA 600 NMR spectrometer equipped with a HCN cryogenic probe. Unless otherwise noted, the following NMR experiments were performed: 1D ¹H, COSY ¹H–¹H, TOCSY ¹H–¹H, ROESY ¹H–¹H, HSQC or HMQC ¹H–¹³C, and HMBC ¹H–¹³C. All spectra were processed with MestReNova 7.1.

RESULTS

Kinetic Studies. Michaelis–Menten kinetic parameters for tryptophan and its 4-substituted analogues are listed in Table 1.

 Table 1. Kinetic Parameters for 4-DMATS with Tryptophan

 and 4-Substituted Analogues

	$K_{\rm M}~(\mu{ m M})$	$k_{\rm cat}~({\rm s}^{-1})$	${k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M} \over ({ m s}^{-1}~{ m M}^{-1})}$	relative activity ^a (%)
TRP	20 ± 5	60 ± 6	3.1×10^{6}	100
4-CH ₃ -TRP	89 ± 16	0.75 ± 0.04	8.5×10^{3}	0.28
4-OCH ₃ - TRP	250 ± 43	1.3 ± 0.1	5.1×10^{3}	0.17
4-NH ₂ - TRP	17 ± 3	0.42 ± 0.02	2.5×10^{4}	0.81
^{<i>a</i>} Relative act	ivity is defin	ed as the perce	ent $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M}$ co	ompared to TRP

Rates (k_{cat}) and Michaelis constants $(K_{\rm M})$ were determined from a nonlinear regression fit of initial velocities versus concentration by GraFit 5.0.11. $K_{\rm M} = 20 \ \mu$ M for tryptophan, which compared favorably with the previously reported value.¹⁹ $K_{\rm M} = 17 \ \mu$ M for 4-aminotryptophan was comparable to the normal substrate, while those for the 4-methyl- and 4-methoxy analogues, 89 and 250 μ M, respectively, were substantially higher. Values of $k_{\rm cat}$ for the tryptophan analogues ranged between 0.42 and 1.3 s⁻¹, substantially smaller than $k_{\rm cat} = 60 \ {\rm s}^{-1}$ for tryptophan. The catalytic efficiencies $(k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M})$ for the analogues were <1% of the normal reaction.

Product Studies. In preliminary work with *C. purpurea* 4-DMATS (dmaW), we used racemic 4-methyltryptophan as a substrate. However, in a study of the *A. fumigatus* enzyme (FgaPT2) D-tryptophan was also a substrate, although at a rate of only 1.8% that of the L-enantiomer.¹⁵ Thus, we decided to use enantiomerically pure 4-methyl-L-tryptophan in our study. The substrate was synthesized from 4-methylindole and L-serine upon incubation with the PLP-dependent tryptophan synthase β subunit.³⁵ The same procedure was used to convert 4-methoxyindole and 4-aminoindole to 4-methoxy-L-tryptophan and 4-amino-L-tryptophan, respectively. All of the NMR data matched previously reported data.^{37–39}

4-Methyltryptophan. Incubation of 4-methyl-L-tryptophan and DMAPP with 4-DMATS gave four products (see Figure 2a). The UV spectra of compounds 1 (11.4 min, 44%) and 2 (15.1 min, 5%) had peaks at 209.7, 242.8, 293.8 nm and 206.2, 239.2, 289.1, 293.8 nm, respectively, suggesting that the indole chromophore ($\lambda_{max} \approx 278$ nm) had been disrupted to give an indoline-like structure.⁴⁰ Compounds 3 (24.6 min, 7%) and 4 (26.9 min, 44%) had peaks at 223.8, 272.4 nm and 225.0, 276.0, 290.3 nm, respectively, suggesting that they contained intact indole chromophores. Chromatography of the reaction mixture from 4-methyl-L-tryptophan on a C18 RP-HPLC column with an acidic solvent system (1% TFA) resulted in complete loss of 1, while the ratios for compounds 2–4 did not change (data not shown). HRMS analysis of the four products gave molecular ions that were 68 Da higher than the corresponding peaks for 4-methyl-L-tryptophan or its sodium adduct, indicating the addition of one isoprene unit.

The structures of the products were determined from their ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (Table 2). The dimethylallyl moiety in compound 1 was attached in a reverse orientation as evidenced by the distinctive signals for the three vinyl protons at 5.85 ppm (dd, *J* = 10.8, 17.4 Hz), 4.95 ppm (dd, *J* = 0.6, 10.2 Hz), and 4.94 ppm (dd, J = 0.6, 17.4 Hz), and two methyl groups at 0.93 and 0.85 ppm. The HMBC spectrum showed correlations between the methyl peaks of the prenyl moiety and C3' (44.7 ppm) of the isoprene unit and C3 (68.1 ppm) in the indoline moiety. Aromatic protons at C5 (6.61 ppm), C6 (7.00 ppm), and C7 (6.48 ppm) support prenylation at C3 of the indole ring. In addition, the peak for the proton at C2 (5.06 ppm) is near reported values for C2 diamine protons in tricyclic C3-prenylated tryptophan derivatives.^{24,31} Collectively, these data confirm that 1 is formed by a reverse prenylation at the C3 position of 4-methyltryptophan, followed by cyclization to give a hexahydropyrroloindole (HHPI) structure.

Compound 2 also contains an HHPI moiety formed by normal prenylation at C3 of 4-methyltryptophan, as confirmed by the presence of proton signals for methylene protons at C1' [2.34 (dd, J = 8.4, 15.0 Hz) and 2.55 ppm (dd, J = 6.6, 15.0 Hz)], the proton at C2' (4.77 ppm, app t, $J \approx 7.2$ Hz), and the methyl groups at 1.47 and 1.46 ppm. Cross peaks were also seen between a proton at C1' (2.34 ppm) and C3 (61.1 ppm) and between the proton at C2 (4.84 ppm) and C1' (37.4 ppm) in the HMBC spectrum. In addition, signals were seen for the aromatic protons at C5 (6.57 ppm), C6 (6.94 ppm), and C7 (6.45 ppm). Thus, 4-DMATS catalyzes both the normal and reverse prenylation at the C3 of 4-methyltryptophan.

The ¹H spectrum of 4 had peaks for the protons at C1' (4.60 ppm, d, J = 6.6 Hz) and C2' (5.24 ppm, t, J = 6.6 Hz) and the methyl groups at C3' (1.70 and 1.60 ppm) for normal prenylation. Signals for aromatic protons at C5 (6.80 ppm), C6 (7.03 ppm), and C7 (7.19 ppm) indicated that prenylation had occurred in the pyrrole ring. The chemical shifts of C1' (46.3 ppm) and the directly attached protons (4.60 ppm), along with cross peaks between the C1' protons and C2 (130.6 ppm) in the HMBC spectrum, indicate that normal prenylation occurred at the N1 position on the indole ring. The UV spectrum and the resonance at 7.07 ppm for the proton at C2 indicated that the indole ring was intact. A negative ion fast atom bombardment mass spectroscopy spectrum of fully exchanged product D in deuterated glycerol and D₂O verified indole ring nitrogen substitution (Figure S43). Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain sufficient quantities of 3 to establish its structure, although as mentioned earlier, the indole ring appeared to be intact. Co-injection experiments (Figure S44) with 3 and two products we had previously isolated and characterized, normal 7-dimethylallyl-4-methyl-L-tryptophan



Figure 2. HPLC chromatograms of preparative enzymatic assays for isolation and purification. (a) Incubation of 4-CH₃-Trp and DMAPP with 4-DMATS, (b) incubation of 4-OCH₃-Trp and DMAPP with 4-DMATS, and (c) incubation of 4-NH₂-Trp and DMAPP with 4-DMATS.

and reverse *N*-dimethylallyl-4-methyl-L-tryptophan (unpublished results) excluded these structures as candidates for **3**.

4-Methoxytryptophan. As described for 4-methyltryptophan, incubation of 4-methoxy-L-tryptophan with 4-DMATS gave products 5 (11.8 min, 5%), 6 (13.3 min, 7%), 7 (17.5 min, 71%), and 8 (21.4 min, 17%) (see Figure 2b). HRMS analysis indicated that the molecular ions of the compounds were 68 Da higher than 4-methoxytryptophan and its sodium adduct, as expected for monoprenylation. UV spectra for 5 and 6 suggested that the indole moiety had been disrupted, while those for 7 and 8 indicated that the indole nucleus was intact.

The ¹H spectrum of 7 had peaks for the protons at C1' (3.35 ppm, d, J = 7.2 Hz) and C2' (5.25 ppm, t, J = 7.2 Hz) and the methyl groups at C3' (1.67 and 1.60 ppm) for normal prenylation. Signals for the proton at C2 (7.10, s) and two other aromatic protons [(7.15 ppm, d, J = 8.4 Hz)] and (6.98 ppm, d, J = 8.4 Hz)] indicated that prenylation had occurred at either the 5 or 7 position of the indole ring. Although we were unable to unambiguously determine the prenylation site from this data, we previously isolated and characterized normal 7-dimethylallyl-4-methoxy-L-tryptophan (unpublished data). A comparison of UV spectra and NMR chemical shifts for both compounds confirm that they are distinct products, implying 7 is prenylated at C5. Thus, 4-DMATS catalyzes a normal prenylation at C5 of 4-methoxytryptophan.

4-Aminotryptophan. As described for 4-methyl and 4methoxytryptophan, incubation of 4-amino-L-tryptophan with 4-DMATS gave products 9 (10.2 min, 82%) and 10 (14.2 min, 18%) (see Figure 2c). HRMS analysis indicated that the molecular ions of the compounds were 68 Da higher than 4aminotryptophan as expected for monoprenylation. The UV spectra for 9 and 10 suggested that the indole nucleus was intact.

The dimethylallyl moiety in compound 9 was attached in a normal orientation as evidenced by the distinctive signals for the methylene protons at C1' (3.24 ppm, d, J = 7.2), the proton at C2' (5.19 ppm, t, J = 7.2 Hz), and the methyl groups at 1.66 and 1.61 ppm. Signals for the proton at C2 (7.02, s) and two other aromatic protons [(6.89 ppm, d, J = 8.4 Hz) and (6.87 ppm, d, J = 8.4 Hz)] indicated that prenylation had occurred at either the 5 or 7 position of the indole ring. The ROESY spectrum showed correlations between the methylene protons of the prenyl moiety and the aromatic proton at C6 (6.87 ppm). The HMBC spectrum showed correlations between the methylene the methylene protons of the prenyl moiety and C3a (120.0 ppm), C4 (138.4 ppm), and C6 (127.0 ppm). Collectively, these data confirm that 9 is formed by a normal prenylation at the C5 position of 4-aminotryptophan.

Compound **10** also contains normal prenylation as confirmed by the presence of proton signals for methylene protons at C1' (3.35, d, J = 7.8), the proton at C2' (5.31 ppm, t, J = 7.8), and the methyl groups at 1.62 and 1.61 ppm. Signals for the proton at C2 (7.05, s) and two other aromatic protons [(6.75 ppm, d, J = 7.2 Hz) and (6.36 ppm, d, J = 7.2 Hz)] indicated that prenylation had occurred at either the 5 or 7 position of the indole ring. The COSY spectrum showed correlations between the methylene protons of the prenyl moiety and the aromatic proton at C6 (6.75 ppm). The HMBC

Table 2. ¹H and ¹³C NMR Data of Isolated Enzymatic Products Including Structures^a

Structure/ Compound	$\begin{array}{c} 11 & 5^{1} & 4^{1} & 1^{1} & 0 \\ CH_{3} & 3^{1} & 2^{1} & 0 \\ 4^{1} & 3a & 36 & 19 \\ 6 & 7^{7a} & N^{2} \\ 7^{7a} & H_{1} \\ 1 \\ \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 4' & 3' & 5' \\ & & & \\ \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & $		$\begin{array}{c} & 0 \\$	
Position	$\delta_{\rm H}$, multi., J	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$, multi., J	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$, multi., J	$\delta_{\rm C}$
1	exc.	-	exc.	-	-	-
2	5.06, s	83.3	4.84, s	84.2	7.07, s	130.6
3	-	68.1	-	61.1		112.0
3a	-	138.9	-	137.7	-	128.2
4	-	130.9	-	133.7	-	133.8
5	6.61, d, 7.8	125.8	6.57, d, 7.8	124.5	6.80, d, 7.2	123.3
6	7.00, t, 7.8	131.5	6.94, t, 7.8	130.8	7.03, dd, 7.2, 8.4	124.4
7	6.48, d, 7.8	110.8	6.45, d, 7.8	110.5	7.19, d, 8.4	110.7
7a	-	152.7	-	152.2	-	138.9
8	2.72, dd, 7.8, 13.8	38.0	2.51, dd, 6.6, 12.6	44.2	3.42, dd, 4.8, 15.0	32.4
	2.34, dd, 8.4, 13.8	-	2.00, dd, 8.4, 12.6	-	2.98, dd, 9.0, 15.0	-
9	3.49, t, 7.8	63.5	3.40, m	63.4	3.61, dd, 4.8, 9.0	59.6
10	-	179.5	-	n.f.	-	n.f.
11	2.29, s	23.1	2.22, s	20.0	2.58, s	22.2
1'	4.95, dd, 0.6, 10.2	116.2	2.55, dd, 6.6, 15.0	37.4	4.60, d, 6.6	46.3
	4.94, dd, 0.6, 17.4	-	2.34, dd, 8.4, 15.0	-	-	-
2'	5.85, dd, 10.8, 17.4	147.6	4.77, t, 7.2	121.7	5.24, t, 6.6	121.9
3'	-	44.7	-	138.6	-	140.4
4'	0.93, s	26.1	1.47, s	19.8	1.70, s	19.8
5'	0.85, s	257	146 s	27.4	160 s	27.2
	,	2017	1.10,0	27.1	1.00, 3	27.2
Structure/	5' 11 OCH ₃ 8 4' 3 2' 5 6 7 ^{7a} H1	0 9 10 NH ₂ 2	4' ³ 2' ⁵ ¹¹ ^{NH2} ³ ³ ³ ² ⁵ ⁶ ⁷ ⁷ ³ ^N ¹ ¹	0 10 NH ₂	11 11 11 11 11 14 30 3 5 6 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	-OH 0 NH2
Structure/ Compound Position	$ \begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ 0 \\ 4' & 32' \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7 \end{array} $	2 0 0 10 NH ₂ 2	$\begin{array}{c} 11000 \\ 5' \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$))))))))))))))	$ \begin{array}{c} 1100, 3 \\ 11 \\ 100, 3 \\ 4 \\ 3a \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 8 \\ 10 \end{array} $	-OH 0 IH ₂
Structure/ Compound Position	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} & 8 \\ 4' & 32' & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 7a \\ 6 & 7 & 11 \\ 7 & 8 \\ 7 & 7a \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ \delta_{\text{H}}, \text{ multi.}, J \\ \delta_{\text{H}}, \text{ multi.}, J \\ \end{array}$	ο 9 10 NH ₂ 2	$\begin{array}{c} 11000 \\ 5' \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5' \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 7' \\ 1' \\ 4 \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 7a \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ \delta_{H}, multi., J \\ 9 \end{array}$	Δ _C	$\begin{array}{c} 1100, 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	-OH 0 HH ₂ δ _C
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} & 8 \\ 4' & 32' & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 7a \\ \text{M}_{1} \\ 7 \\ \delta_{\text{H}}, \text{ multi.}, J \\ \text{exc.} \\ 7 & 10 \\ \text{s} \end{array}$	ο 9 10 NH ₂ 2 δ _C -	$\begin{array}{c} 11000 \\ 5' \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5' \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 1' \\ 4' \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 7' \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ \delta_{H}, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.02 \\ s \end{array}$	δ _C	$\begin{array}{c} 1100, 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	-OH 0 H ₂ δ _C -
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ OCH_3 \\ 4' & 32' \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H_1 \\ 7 \\ \delta_H, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.10, s \\ - \end{array}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$ - 127.8	$\begin{array}{c} 110, 0\\ 5' \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5' \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 7' \\ 1' \\ 4 \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 7' \\ 1a \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ \delta_{H}, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.02, s \\ - \end{array}$	δ _c - 127.3	$\begin{array}{c} 1100, 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	-OH 0 HH ₂ δ _C - 126.6
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ OCH_3 \\ 4' & 32' \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 1' \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ N_1 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ N_1 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ N_1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ N_1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\$	$\delta_{\rm C}$ - 127.8 n.f.	$\begin{array}{c} 110, 0\\ 5' \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5' \\ 6 \\ 77a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ \delta_{H}, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.02, s \\ - \end{array}$	δ _c - 127.3 109.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1100, 3\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	-OH 0 HH ₂ - 126.6 111.3
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 4	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} & \text{OCH}_{3} & \text{OCH}_{3} \\ 4' & 32' & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} & \text{OCH}_{3} & \text{OCH}_{3} \\ 6 & 7 & \text{H}_{1} \\ \end{array}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$ - 127.8 n.f. n.f.	$\begin{array}{c} 110, 0\\ \hline \\ 5'\\ 4'\\ 3'\\ 2'\\ 5\\ \hline \\ 6\\ 77a\\ H\\ 1\\ \hline \\ 9\\ \hline \\ 8\\ 7\\ 7a\\ H\\ 1\\ 9\\ \hline \\ 9\\ \hline \\ 8\\ 7\\ 7a\\ H\\ 1\\ \hline \\ 9\\ \hline \\ 7\\ 7a\\ H\\ 1\\ \hline \\ 9\\ \hline \\ 7\\ 7a\\ H\\ 1\\ \hline \\ 9\\ \hline \\ 7\\ 7a\\ H\\ 1\\ \hline \\ 9\\ \hline \\ \\ 7\\ 7a\\ H\\ 1\\ \hline \\ \\ \\ 7\\ 7a\\ H\\ 1\\ \hline \\ \\ \\ 7\\ 7a\\ H\\ 1\\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	δ _C - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1100, 3\\ \hline \\ 11\\ 11\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 13\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12$	$\delta_{\rm C}$ - 126.6 111.3 119.9
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 a 4 5	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 1$	δ_{c} - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f.	$\begin{array}{c} 110, 0\\ 5' \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5' \\ 6 \\ 77a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 77a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 77a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	δ _c - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 p.f	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00, 3 \\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline \delta_H, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.05, s \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\$	-OH 0 HH ₂ δ _C - 126.6 111.3 119.9 139.9 139.0
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 a 4 5 6	$ \begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ N_{H} \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ \delta_{H}, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.10, s \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ -$	δ_{c} - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f. 126.8	$ \frac{5'}{4'} = \frac{11}{2'} = \frac{11}{4} = \frac{8}{3a} = \frac{5}{3a} = \frac{11}{4} = \frac{11}{3a} = \frac{11}{3$	δ _C - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00, 3 \\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H_1 \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline \delta_H, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.05, s \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 6.36, d, 7.2 \\ \hline 6.36, d, 7.2 \\ \hline 6.75, d, 7.2 \\ \hline 6.75, d, 7.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$	-OH 0 HH ₂ δ _C - 126.6 111.3 119.9 139.9 110.1 124.1
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 a 4 5 6 6 7	$ \begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ N \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ \delta_{\text{H}}, \text{ multi., } J \\ exc. \\ 7.10, s \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 6.98, d, 8.4 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 4' \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ N \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 4' \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	δ_{C} - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. 126.8 111 7	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ NH_2 & 32' \\ 4' & 3' & 2' \\ 5' & 6 \\ 7'7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ \hline \\ 6 \\ 7'7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ \hline \\ 7'7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ \hline \\ 6 \\ 7'7a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 4' \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	δ _C - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 138.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00, 3\\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1\\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H_1 \\ 1 \\ 2^2 \\ 10 \\ \hline \delta_H, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.05, s \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 6.36, d, 7.2 \\ \hline 6.36, d, 7.2 \\ \hline 6.75, d, 7.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$	δ _C - 126.6 111.3 119.9 139.9 110.1 124.2
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 a 4 5 6 6 7 7 7	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} & 8 \\ 4' & 32' & 5 \\ 6 & 7^{7a} & 1 \\ 1' & 4' & 3a \\ 3a & 3 \\ 6 & 7^{7a} & 1 \\ 1 & 7 \\ \hline \\ 6 & 7^{7a} & 1 \\ 1 & 7 \\ \hline \\ 6 & 7^{7a} & 1 \\ 1 & 7 \\ \hline \\ 7 & 10, s \\ \hline$	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ 9 \\ & & \\ 9 \\ & & \\ 10 \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} & 110, 0 \\ & 5' \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5' \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 7' \\ 1' \\ 4' \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 7' \\ 8a \\ 1a \\ 7' \\ 8a \\ 1a \\ 9 \\ 8a \\ 7' \\ 8a \\ 1a \\ 1a \\ 9 \\ 8a \\ 1a \\ 1a \\ 1a \\ 2a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3$	δ _C - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 129.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00, 3\\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1\\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H_1 \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline \delta_H, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.05, s \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 6.36, d, 7.2 \\ - \\ - \\ 6.36, d, 7.2 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\frac{\delta_{C}}{111.3}$ $\frac{\delta_{C}}{110.1}$ $\frac{126.6}{111.3}$ $\frac{119.9}{139.9}$ $\frac{110.1}{124.1}$ $\frac{120.3}{128.0}$
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 a 4 5 6 6 7 7 a 8	$5' \qquad 11 \\ OCH_3 \\ 4' \qquad 32' \qquad 5 \\ 6 \qquad 7^{7a} \\ H \\ H \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 7^{7a} \\ H \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 10$	δ_{C} - 127.8 n.f. n.f. 126.8 111.7 n.f. - n.f. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ NH_2 & 3 \\ 4' & 3' & 2' \\ 5' & 6 \\ 7' & 1' \\ 4' & 3a \\ 7' & 1' \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 7' & 1' \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 7' & 1' \\ 1a \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7' & 1' \\ 1a \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7' & 1' \\ 1a \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7' & 1' \\ 1a \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ 1a \\ 1a \\ 1a \\ 7a \\ 1a \\ 1$	δ _C - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 139.4 21.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00, 3 \\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline \delta_H, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.05, s \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 6.36, d, 7.2 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 2.24, 2.40, m \end{array}$	$\frac{\delta_{C}}{111.3}$ $\frac{\delta_{C}}{110.1}$ $\frac{\delta_{C}}{126.6}$ $\frac{111.3}{119.9}$ $\frac{139.9}{110.1}$ $\frac{124.1}{120.3}$ $\frac{138.9}{21.4}$
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 a 4 5 6 7 7 a 8	$5' \qquad 11 \\ OCH_3 \\ 4' \qquad 32' \qquad 5 \\ 6 \\ 7^{7a} \\ H \\ 11 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7^{7a} \\ H \\ 11 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7^{7a} \\ H \\ 11 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7^{7a} \\ H \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7^{7a} \\ H \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 15 \\ 6 \\ 8.4 \\ 7 \\ 15 \\ 6 \\ 8.4 \\ 7 \\ 15 \\ 6 \\ 8.4 \\ 7 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 10 \\ 15 \\ 10 \\ 15 \\ 10 \\ 15 \\ 10 \\ 10$	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ 9 \\ & & \\ 9 \\ & & \\ 10 \\ & & \\ \\ & & \\ NH_2 \\ 2 \\ \\ & \\ 2 \\ \\ & \\ & \\ 127.8 \\ & \\ n.f. \\ & \\ n.f. \\ & \\ n.f. \\ & \\ 111.7 \\ & \\ n.f. \\ & \\ n.f. \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ n.f. \\ \\ & \\ n.f. \\ \\ & \\ n.f. \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ n.f. \\ \\ \\ & \\ \\ \\ & \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ NH_2 & 32' \\ 4' & 3' & 2' \\ 5' & 6 \\ 7' & 1' \\ 4' & 3a \\ 3a \\ 7' & 1' \\ 4' & 3a \\ 3a \\ 7' & 1' \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7' & 1' \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7' & 1' \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 7' & 1' \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	δ _C - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 139.4 31.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00, 3\\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1\\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ H_1 \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline \delta_H, multi., J \\ exc. \\ 7.05, s \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 6.36, d, 7.2 \\ - \\ - \\ 3.34-3.40, m \\ 3.15, 4d, 7.2 \\ 15.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 3.15, 4d, 7.2 \\ 15.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \delta_{C} \\ \hline \\ \delta_{C} \\ \hline \\ 126.6 \\ 111.3 \\ 119.9 \\ 139.9 \\ 110.1 \\ 124.1 \\ 120.3 \\ 138.9 \\ 31.4 \\ \end{array}$
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 a 4 5 6 7 7 7 a 8 8	$5' \qquad 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} \\ 4' \qquad 32' \qquad 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{M} \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{M} \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{M} \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{M} \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{M} \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{M} \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ 1 \\ 7a \\ 7a$	δ _C - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f. 126.8 111.7 n.f. n.f. - r.f. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	$\begin{array}{c} & 110, 0 \\ & 5' \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5' \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 7' \\ 1' \\ 4' \\ 3a \\ 3a \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 7a \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 7a \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 7a \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 8 \\ 4' \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ $	δ _C - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 139.4 31.0 - 58.7	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00, 3 \\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline \delta_H, multi., J \\ exc. \\ \hline 7.05, s \\ - \\ - \\ \hline 6.36, d, 7.2 \\ \hline 6.75, d, 7.2 \\ - \\ \hline 3.34-3.40, m \\ \hline 3.15, dd, 7.2, 15.0 \\ \hline 2.68, 2.7 \\ \hline m \end{array}$	$\frac{\delta_{C}}{111.3}$ $\frac{\delta_{C}}{1126.6}$ $\frac{-}{126.6}$ $\frac{111.3}{119.9}$ $\frac{139.9}{110.1}$ $\frac{124.1}{120.3}$ $\frac{138.9}{31.4}$ $\frac{-}{58.0}$
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 7 8 8 9 9	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} \\ 4' & 32' \\ 5' \\ 6 \\ 7'^{7a} \\ \text{M}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7'^{7a} \\ \text{M}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7'^{7a} \\ \text{M}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 10, s \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\$	δ _C - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f. 126.8 111.7 n.f.	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$	δ _C - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 139.4 31.0 - 58.7 p.f.	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00, 3\\ \hline 11\\ 1.10, 3\\ \hline 0\\ \hline \\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	$\frac{\delta_{C}}{111.3}$ $\frac{\delta_{C}}{110.1}$ $\frac{126.6}{111.3}$ $\frac{119.9}{139.9}$ $\frac{110.1}{124.1}$ $\frac{120.3}{138.9}$ $\frac{138.9}{31.4}$ $\frac{120.3}{138.9}$ $\frac{120.3}{13$
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3a 4 5 6 7 7a 8 9 10 11	$5' \qquad 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} \\ 4' \\ 3' \\ 2' \\ 5' \\ 6 \\ 7' \\ 7' \\ 1' \\ 4' \\ 3a \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 7' \\ 10, \\ 8 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ -$	δ _C - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f. 126.8 111.7 n.f.	$\begin{array}{c} & 110, 0 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ 4' & 32' & 5 \\ & & & \\ 6 & & & \\ 7 & 7a \\ & & \\ 1' & 4 \\ 3a \\ $	δ _c - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 139.4 31.0 - 58.7 n.f.	$\begin{array}{c} 1.30, 3\\ \hline 11\\ 1.10, 3\\ \hline 0\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	δ _C - 126.6 111.3 119.9 139.9 110.1 124.1 120.3 138.9 31.4 - 58.9 n.f.
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11	$5' 11 OCH_3 84' 32' 56 77a H_17\delta_{\rm H}, multi., Jexc.7.10, s---6.98, d, 8.47.15, d, 8.4-3.41-3.44, m3.06, dd, 9.0, 15.03.87, dd, 4.8, 9.0-3.79, s2.35, 4, 7.2$	δ _C - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.	$\begin{array}{c} 110, 0\\ \hline \\ 110, 0\\ \hline \\ 4' & 32' & 5\\ \hline \\ 6 & 7^{7a} & 1\\ \hline \\ 11 & 3a & 3\\ \hline \\ 7a & 1\\ \hline \\ 11 & 2\\ \hline \\ 9\\ \hline \\ 8x & 3\\ \hline$	δ _c - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 139.4 31.0 - 58.7 n.f. - 31.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00, 3 \\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ 9 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 5' \\ 3 \\ 4' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 1' \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 1' \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 10$	δ _C - 126.6 111.3 119.9 139.9 110.1 124.1 120.3 138.9 31.4 - 58.9 n.f. - 21.2 21.2
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3a 4 5 6 7 7a 8 9 10 11 1' 2'	$5' 11 OCH_3 84' 32' 56 77a H_17\delta_{\rm H}, multi., Jexc.7.10, s---6.98, d, 8.47.15, d, 8.4-3.41-3.44, m3.06, dd, 9.0, 15.03.87, dd, 4.8, 9.0-3.79, s3.35, d, 7.25 25 + 7.2$	δ _C - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.	$\begin{array}{c} 110, 0 \\ \hline \\ 110, 0 \\ \hline \\ 4' \\ 32' \\ 5' \\ 6 \\ 7'a \\ 1' \\ 4' \\ 3a \\ 3$	δ _c - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 139.4 31.0 - 58.7 n.f. - 31.9 125.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.30, 3 \\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 3a \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ a \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ a \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 10$	δ _C - 126.6 111.3 119.9 110.1 124.1 120.3 138.9 31.4 - 58.9 n.f. - 31.2 124.2
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 a 4 5 6 7 7 7 a 8 9 10 11 11 1' 2' 2'	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} \\ 4' & 2' \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ 8 \\ 7a \\ 7a \\ 7a \\ 7a \\ 8 \\ 7a \\ 7a$	δ _c - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.	$\begin{array}{c} 110, 0\\ \hline 110, 0\\ \hline \\ 4' & 32' & 5\\ \hline \\ 6 & 7'a & 1\\ \hline \\ 11 & 4 & 3a \\ 3a & 3\\ \hline \\ 3a & 3\\ \hline \\ 6 & 7'a & 1\\ 1 \\ \hline \\ 9 \\ \hline \\ 6 \\ 7'a & 1\\ 1 \\ \hline \\ 9 \\ \hline \\ 6 \\ 7'a & 1\\ 1 \\ \hline \\ 7 \\ 7a & 1\\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 7a & 1\\ 7a & $	δ _c - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 139.4 31.0 - 58.7 n.f. - 31.9 125.8	$\begin{array}{c} 1.30, 3\\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 3a \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1' \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1' \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1' \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1' \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ a \\ H \\ 1 \\ 1' \\ 2' \\ 10 \\ \hline 6 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\$	δ _C - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 a 4 5 6 7 7 7 a 8 8 9 9 10 11 11 1' 2' 3' 4'	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} \\ 4' & 2' \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 7a \\ \text{H}_{1} \\ 7 \\ 7a \\ 8 \\ 7a \\ 7a \\ 8 \\ 7a \\ 7a \\ $	δ _c - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. 120.8 111.7 n.f. n.f. n.f. 126.8 111.7 1.6	$\begin{array}{c} 110, 0\\ \hline \\ 110, 0\\ \hline 1$	δ _c - 127.3 109.9 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 138.4 31.0 - 58.7 n.f. - 31.9 125.0 136.4 10.6	$\begin{array}{c} 1.30, 3\\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ 9 \\ \hline 1 \\ 9 \\ \hline 1 \\ 9 \\ \hline 1 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	δ _C - 126.6 111.3 139.9 110.1 122.3 138.9 31.4 - 58.9 n.f. - 31.2 124.2 137.3
Structure/ Compound Position 1 2 3 3 a 4 5 5 6 7 7 7 a 8 8 9 10 11 11 1' 2' 3' 4' 5'	$\begin{array}{c} 5' & 11 \\ \text{OCH}_{3} \\ 4' & 32' \\ 6 \\ 7^{7} \\ 7^{7} \\ 10, \\ 8 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ -$	δ _c - 127.8 n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. n.f. 127.8 n.f. 126.7 n.f. 126.8 111.7 n.f. n.f. 126.8 111.7 n.f. 126.8 111.7 1.6 1.1.7 n.f. 1.1.7 1.6.3 19.8 27.5	$\begin{array}{c} 110, 3 \\ \hline 110,$	δ _c - 127.3 109.9 120.0 138.4 n.f. 127.0 107.0 139.4 31.0 - 58.7 n.f. - 31.9 125.0 136.8 19.6	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00, 3\\ \hline 11 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1\\ \hline 1 \\ H_2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 1\\ \hline 1 \\ 1\\ 2' \\ \hline 1 \\ 1' \\ 2' \\ \hline 1' \\ 1' \\ 2' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ 2' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\$	δ _C - 126.6 111.3 139.9 130.1 124.1 120.3 138.9 31.4 - 58.9 n.f. - 31.2 124.2 137.3 19.4

 $^a\delta_{\rm H}$ and $\delta_{\rm C}$ in ppm;J in Hz; n.f. = not found; and exc. = exchanged.

spectrum showed correlations between the methylene protons of the prenyl moiety and C7 (120.3 ppm) and C7a (138.9 ppm) as well as a correlation between the aromatic proton at C6 and C1' (31.2 ppm). Collectively, these data confirm that **10** is formed by a normal prenylation at the C7 position of 4aminotryptophan. Thus, 4-DMATS catalyzes normal prenylation at C5 and C7 of 4-aminotryptophan.

DISCUSSION

The 4-DMATS subgroup is an important member of the dimethylallyltryptophan synthase superfamily. These enzymes,

including 4-DMATS from *C. purpurea*⁸ and FgaPT2 from *A. fumigatus*,¹⁵ catalyze normal prenylation at C4 in the indole ring of L-tryptophan as the first pathway specific step in the biosynthesis of ergot alkaloids.⁴¹ Although the natural substrate is an unsubstituted tryptophan, both 4-DMATS and FgaPT2 catalyze C4 prenylation of tryptophan analogues with substituents on the indole ring as well as the amino acid chain.^{19,20} The methyl group at C4 in 4-methyltryptophan blocks prenylation at that site, and the compound is an inhibitor of the normal reaction.³⁴ During studies in our laboratory with 4-DMATS using 4-methyltryptophan as a competitive inhibitor against tryptophan, we detected a higher than expected background in our assays and discovered what appeared to be prenylation of 4-methyltryptophan.

Incubation of 4-methyltryptophan with 4-DMATS gave four prenylation products, two monoprenylated indoline derivatives and two monoprenylated indoles. The major product (1) was a hexahydropyrroloindole reverse prenylated at C3, accompanied by a smaller amount of the normal prenylated isomer (2), both of which eluted before the putative indoles. The more abundant indole isomer (4) was normally prenylated at N1. Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain a sufficient quantity of 3, the less abundant isomer for NMR spectroscopy. Co-injection of 3 with reverse *N*-dimethylallyl-4-methyl-L-tryptophan and normal 7-dimethylallyl-4-methyl-L-tryptophan excluded both of these structures.

Incubation with 4-methoxytryptophan also gave four products. The elution and UV profiles for compounds 5-8 were similar to those of the prenylated 4-methyltryptophan derivatives. Normally prenylated 5-dimethylallyl-4-methoxytryptophan, whose elution profile was similar to 3 was the major product and the only compound to be obtained in sufficient quantity to be fully characterized. Given the similar HPLC retention times and UV profiles for the four products from 4-methyl- and 4-methoxytryptophan, it is likely that 5 and 6 are indolines normal and reverse prenylated at C3 and that 8 is a normal prenylated indole, most likely at N1 or C7. 4-DMATS also catalyzes the normal prenylation of 4-aminotryptophan at the C5 and C7 positions of the indole nucleus. Thus, 4-DMATS catalyzes normal or reverse prenylation of tryptophan and C4-substituted analogues at five different sites on the indole ring, N1, C3, C4, C5, and C7, depending on the substituent (Figures 3 and 4).



Figure 3. Sites for alkylation of 4-methyltryptophan by 4-DMATS.

The indole ring in tryptophan is susceptible to electrophilic alkylation at N1; at C2, C5, and C7 which are activated by conjugation with N1 through the benzene ring; and at C4 and C6, which are activated by conjugation with N1 through the C2-C3 double bond and the benzene ring. When C4 is blocked, 4-DMATS catalyzes alkylation at all of the activated positions, except weakly activated C6, depending on the electron-donating properties of the blocking substituent. In addition,

both normal and reverse prenylation are seen at C3 for 4methyltryptophan. Alkylation of the indole ring at sites separated by several angstroms and by normal and reverse alkylation of C3 indicates that the enzyme can bind DMAPP in different conformations. The high regioselectivity for C4 alkylation with the normal substrates suggests that binding and catalysis of a single conformation have been optimized for synthesis of 4-dimethylallyltryptophan, but when alkylation at C4 is blocked, alkylation from other conformations becomes competitive.

All of the substitutents introduced at C4 are electrondonating relative to hydrogen. For the methyl substituent (σ^+ = 0.31),⁴² alkylation is mostly at C3, giving normal and reverse prenylated indolines, along with a smaller amount of the N1 alkylated indole. The methoxy group (σ^+ = 0.78) directs alkylation predominantly to C5 giving only trace amounts of putative indolines. The amino group (σ^+ = 1.3) directs alkylation exclusively to C5 and C7. Thus, when a relatively weak electron-donating substituent blocks C4, the dimethylallyl cation alkylates C3 to generate normal and reverse prenylated iminium intermediates, which cyclize to the corresponding indolines. The more powerful electron-donating methoxy and amino groups direct alkylation to the *ortho* (C5) and *para* (C7) carbons, positions further activated by N1. Interestingly, the amino group in 4-aminotryptophan is not alkylated.

Our results provide strong evidence for a dissociative electrophilic alkylation of the indole nucleus by C1' and in one instance C3' of the dimethylallyl cation generated by heterolysis of DMAPP. 4-Dimethylallyltryptophan is the only product of the normal reaction catalyzed by 4-DMATS. When alkylation at C4 is blocked, the enzyme no longer catalyzes a regioselective reaction but instead produces a variety of products from alkylation at the nucleophilic positions (N1, C3, C5, and C7) on the indole ring by the electrophilic dimethylallyl cation. In addition, we saw only a 3-fold variation in k_{cat} for the methyl, methoxy, and amino tryptophans, which is most readily explained by formation of the dimethylallyl cation as the rate-limiting step, followed by alkylation of the indole nucleus. These results are consistent with previous studies with 7-methyl and 7-methoxy derivatives of tryptophan. In this case, tryptophan and the 7-substituted analogues are alkylated at C4 with only a 2-fold variation in k_{cat} .¹⁹

Recently, Luk and co-workers reported that the K174A mutant of A. fumigatus 4-DMATS catalyzes reverse prenylation of C3.³¹ A crystal structure of the enzyme and kinetic studies indicate that K174 is an important base, which facilitates removal of the C4 hydrogen during the electrophilic aromatic substitution reaction. They suggested that the reaction catalyzed by the wild-type enzyme proceeds in two steps: reverse alkylation at C3 followed by a Cope rearrangement and deprotonation to form the normal C4 prenylation product, as originally proposed by Wenkert and Sliwa.³² The multiplicity of products we observe when C4 is blocked, including normal and reverse alkylation at C3, do not support the Cope mechanism. While a Cope rearrangement is consistent with reverse alkylation at C3 and normal alkylation at N1, it does not account for the concurrent normal prenylation at both C5 and C7. In addition, the presence of normal alkylation at C3 and the absence of a reverse N1 prenylation product suggest rearrangement from C3 to N1 is unlikely.



Figure 4. Characterized and proposed (bracketed) products from incubation of tryptophan analogues and DMAPP with 4-DMATS.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our work with 4-substituted analogues of tryptophan demonstrates that 4-DMATS has the innate ability to alkylate the indole ring at five different positions and by normal and reverse addition. The other reaction channels, which were revealed by blocking the normal alkylation at C4, represent five of the seven sites for alkylation of the indole nucleus by the DMATS superfamily and provide a logical rationale for evolution of members of the superfamily from a common ancestor.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Additional experimental; preparation of L-tryptophan analogues using tryptophan synthase (Scheme S1); NMR spectra of 4substituted analogues (Figures S1–S4); NMR data tables (Tables S1–S6) and NMR spectra of prenylated products (Figures S5–S40); prenylation product structures with pertinent 2D NMR correlations (Figure S41); HRMS data of prenylated products (Table S7); negative ion FABMS of compound 4 (Figures S42–S43); HPLC chromatograms of coinjection assay (Figure S44); radioTLCs and corresponding Michaelis–Menten curves of enzyme kinetics (Figures S45– S48). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

poulter@chem.utah.edu

Present Addresses

[†]Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, Scripps Florida, Jupiter, Florida 33458. [‡]Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes, University of Colorado Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, Colorado 80045.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Reinhard Sterner and Jonathan Johnston for supplying the tmTrpB and pHDMAT vectors, respectively. We thank Dr. James Muller for performing mass spectroscopy and Dr. Jack Skalicky for assistance with cryoprobe NMR. This work was supported by NIH grant GM 21328.

REFERENCES

- (1) Li, S.-M. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 57.
- (2) Hulvova, H.; Galuszka, P.; Frebortova, J.; Frebort, I. Biotechnol. Adv. 2012.
- (3) Wallwey, C.; Li, S.-M. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2011, 28, 496.
- (4) Grundmann, A.; Kuznetsova, T.; Afiyatullov, S. S.; Li, S.-M. ChemBioChem 2008, 9, 2059.
- (5) Grundmann, A.; Li, S.-M. Microbiology (Reading, U. K.) 2005, 151, 2199.
- (6) Yin, W.-B.; Grundmann, A.; Cheng, J.; Li, S.-M. J. Biol. Chem. 2009, 284, 100.
- (7) Yin, W.-B.; Yu, X.; Xie, X.-L.; Li, S.-M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 2430.
- (8) Gebler, J. C.; Poulter, C. D. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1992, 296, 308.
- (9) Yu, X.; Liu, Y.; Xie, X.; Zheng, X.-D.; Li, S.-M. J. Biol. Chem. 2012, 287, 1371.
- (10) Takahashi, S.; Takagi, H.; Toyoda, A.; Uramoto, M.; Nogawa,
- T.; Ueki, M.; Sakaki, Y.; Osada, H. J. Bacteriol. 2010, 192, 2839.
- (11) Kremer, A.; Westrich, L.; Li, S.-M. Microbiology (Reading, U. K.) 2007, 153, 3409.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

- (12) Zou, H.-X.; Xie, X.-L.; Linne, U.; Zheng, X.-D.; Li, S.-M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 3037.
- (13) Unsoeld, I. A.; Li, S.-M. ChemBioChem 2006, 7, 158.
- (14) Wollinsky, B.; Ludwig, L.; Xie, X.; Li, S. M. Org Biomol Chem 2012, 10, 9262.
- (15) Unsoeld, I. A.; Li, S.-M. Microbiology (Reading, U. K.) 2005, 151, 1499.
- (16) Ma, J.; Zuo, D.; Song, Y.; Wang, B.; Huang, H.; Yao, Y.; Li, W.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, C.; Ju, J. *ChemBioChem* **2012**, *13*, 547.
- (17) Lee, S.-L.; Floss, H. G.; Heinstein, P. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1976, 177, 84.
- (18) Tsai, H.-F.; Wang, H.; Gebler, J. C.; Poulter, C. D.; Schardl, C. L. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **1995**, 216, 119.
- (19) Gebler, J. C.; Woodside, A. B.; Poulter, C. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7354.
- (20) Steffan, N.; Unsoeld, I. A.; Li, S.-M. ChemBioChem 2007, 8, 1298.
- (21) Luk, L. Y. P.; Tanner, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 13932.
 (22) Metzger, U.; Schall, C.; Zocher, G.; Unsoeld, I.; Stec, E.; Li, S.-
- M.; Heide, L.; Stehle, T. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2009**, *106*, 14309. (23) Jost, M.; Zocher, G.; Tarcz, S.; Matuschek, M.; Xie, X.; Li, S.-M.;
- Stehle, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17849. (24) Schuller, J. M.; Zocher, G.; Liebhold, M.; Xie, X.; Stahl, M.; Li,
- (24) Schuler, J. M.; Zocher, G.; Eleonoid, M.; Xie, X.; Stahi, M.; El, S.-M.; Stehle, T. J. Mol. Biol. 2012, 422, 87.
- (25) Kuzuyama, T.; Noel, J. P.; Richard, S. B. Nature (London, U. K.) 2005, 435, 983.
- (26) Metzger, U.; Keller, S.; Stevenson, C. E. M.; Heide, L.; Lawson, D. M. J. Mol. Biol. 2010, 404, 611.
- (27) Zocher, G.; Saleh, O.; Heim Joel, B.; Herbst Dominik, A.; Heide, L.; Stehle, T. *PLoS One* **2012**, *7*, e48427.
- (28) Altschul, S. F.; Gish, W.; Miller, W.; Myers, E. W.; Lipman, D. J. J. Mol. Biol. 1990, 215, 403.
- (29) Qian, Q.; Schultz, A. W.; Moore, B. S.; Tanner, M. E. Biochemistry 2012, 51, 7733.
- (30) Phan, R. M.; Poulter, C. D. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6705.
- (31) Luk, L. Y. P.; Qian, Q.; Tanner, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011,
- 133, 12342.
- (32) Wenkert, E.; Sliwa, H. Bioorg. Chem. 1977, 6, 443.
- (33) Schwarzer, D. D.; Gritsch, P. J.; Gaich, T. Angew. Chem, Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11514.
- (34) Cress, W. A.; Chayet, L. T.; Rilling, H. C. J. Biol. Chem. 1981, 256, 10917.
- (35) Hettwer, S.; Sterner, R. J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 8194.
- (36) Goloubinoff, P.; Gatenby, A. A.; Lorimer, G. H. Nature (London) 1989, 337, 44.
- (37) Goss, R. J. M.; Newill, P. L. A. Chem. Commun. (Cambridge, U. K.) 2006, 4924.
- (38) Winn, M.; Roy, A. D.; Grueschow, S.; Parameswaran, R. S.; Goss, R. J. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2008**, *18*, 4508.
- (39) Ma, J.; Yin, W.; Zhou, H.; Liao, X.; Cook, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 264.
- (40) Sangster, A. W.; Stuart, K. L. Chem. Rev. 1965, 65, 69.
- (41) Floss, H. G. Tetrahedron 1976, 32, 873.
- (42) Brown, H. C.; Okamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 4979.